

CITY OF TURLOCK
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF TURLOCK
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	1
Section I – Summary of Auditor’s Results	1
Section II – Financial Statement Findings	2
Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs.....	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	5
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	9
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	11

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF TURLOCK

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

SECTION I—SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? X Yes No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? X Yes None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? X Yes No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? X Yes No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Qualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? X Yes No

Identification of major program(s):

CFDA#(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction
20.507, 20.526	Federal Transit Cluster – COVID-19
21.019	Coronavirus Relief Fund – COVID-19

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes X No

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Our audit did disclose material weaknesses, significant deficiencies and instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements. In addition to Finding SA2021-001 below, we have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated June 6, 2023, which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

Finding Reference Number: SA2021-001 - **Accurate Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

Assistance Listing Numbers: 10.703, 14.228

Assistance Listing Title: Cooperative Fire Protection Agreement (CFPA)
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CDBG)

Name of Federal Agency: Department of Agriculture
Department of Housing and Urban Development

Pass Through Entity: California Office of Emergency Services

Federal Award Identification Number: CFPA = N/A
CDBG = B-14-MC-06-0039, B-18-MC-06-0039, B-19-MC-06-0039, B-20-MC-06-0039

Criteria: In accordance with the requirements of OMB Uniform Administrative Requirements Subpart D Section 200.302(b) (1), the City should report all Federal awards expended in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) each fiscal year. In addition, the SEFA should only include programs that are subject to 2 CFR 200, Subpart F - Audit Requirements (Uniform Guidance).

Condition: In addition to the exceptions noted in Memorandum on Internal Control current year finding 2021-002, during our testing of the fiscal year 2021 SEFA provided for audit we noted that federal expenditures for the Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (AL #14.228) were overstated in the amount of \$10,000. The program expenditures were overstated, because the amount initially reported had not been reduced by a transfer into the CDBG program fund from another fund to pay for certain costs of the program.

We also noted that the SEFA included the Cooperative Fire Protection Agreement (AL #10.703) with program expenditures of \$296,070. However, during our testing of the program as a major program, we noted that the program is not subject to 2 CFR 200, Subpart F - Audit Requirements (Uniform Guidance), which means it should not have been included on the SEFA.

Effect: Incorrect reporting not only misstates the SEFA, it also implies that the City is not in compliance with the reporting requirements of the OMB Uniform Administrative Requirements. As a result, future federal funding could be adversely affected.

Cause: We understand that the inclusion of the \$10,000 of non-federal funding in the program expenditures was due to staff oversight and City staff responsible for preparing the SEFA was not aware that the Cooperative Fire Protection Agreement program was not subject to the Uniform Guidance.

Identification as a repeat finding: Yes, since 2020

Recommendation: The City must develop procedures to ensure that amounts reported on the SEFA are accurate and include all Federal awards expended each fiscal year.

View of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions: Please see Corrective Action Plan separately prepared by the City.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Our audit disclosed the following findings and questioned costs required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance.

Finding Reference Number: SA2021-002 - **Grant Drawdowns and Accurate Reimbursement Requests**

Assistance Listing Numbers: 20.507, 20.526
Assistance Listing Title: COVID-19 – Federal Transit Cluster
Name of Federal Agency: Department of Transportation
Federal Award Identification Number: CA-2019-111-01, CA-2020-141-00

Criteria: When the City has multiple active grant agreements, City staff should ensure that grant drawdowns are made from the correct grant agreement and in accordance with the reimbursement rates of the grant award.

Condition: During fiscal year 2021, the City had two active grant awards related to the Federal Transit Cluster under which expenditures were incurred. The CA-2019-111-01 grant award was for both capital and operating costs, while CA-2020-141-00 was for operating costs related to COVID-19. Since the CA-2020-141-00 grant covered 100% of eligible operating costs, we understand the City only intended to use CA-2019-111-01 for eligible costs related to the purchase of two busses. Grant award CA-2019-111-01 was available to reimburse the City for up to 80% of eligible capital costs.

When the City requested reimbursement for the bus-related capital costs, the costs were drawn from grant award CA-2020-141-00 in error. When we made inquiries of City staff and they determined the error in the drawdown, City staff began working with the grantor to determine how the issue could be remedied.

In addition, when the City requested reimbursement for the bus-related capital costs from grant award CA-2020-141-00, the amount reimbursed was \$630,653. That amount is comprised of the bus purchase of \$626,490, which is 50.58% of the \$1,238,715 of the cost of the busses, plus 100% of other related costs that totaled \$4,163. As noted above, grant award CA-2019-111-01 only reimburses 80% of the City's capital costs, so the other related costs should have been claimed in the amount of \$3,330, a difference of \$832.

Questioned Costs: Although the City did draw the capital costs from the operating grant, we are not questioning those costs, because they were eligible to the program as a whole. We do, however, question costs in the amount of \$833, which represent the difference between the capital costs drawn down and the eligible maximum amount of 80% of the capital costs incurred.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with the allowable costs and matching requirements of the grant program.

Cause: We understand the error was caused by staff oversight when filing the grant drawdown in the FTA ECHO drawdown system.

Recommendation: The City must develop procedures to ensure that grant drawdowns are made from the correct grant award and continue to work with the grantor to make corrections to the erroneous grant drawdowns. In addition, the City should work with the grantor to determine if the drawdown in excess of eligible costs needs to be returned to the grantor or if it can be adjusted in a future drawdown, as well as to determine whether interest on the excess funds drawn needs to be paid to the grantor.

View of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions: Please see Corrective Action Plan separately prepared by the City.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued)

Finding Reference Number: SA2021-003 - **Suspension and Debarment for Contracts and Subcontracts**

Assistance Listing Numbers: 21.019
Assistance Listing Title: COVID-19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund
Name of Federal Agency: Department of Treasury
Pass Through Entity: State of California Department of Finance
Stanislaus County
Federal Award Identification Number: 163
SLT0163

Criteria: Exhibit A – Federal Requirements in the City’s CARES Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Subrecipient Agreement with Stanislaus County requires that the City comply with OMB Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement), 2 C.F.R. Part 180, including the requirement to include a term or condition in all lower tier covered transactions (contracts and subcontracts described in 2 C.F.R. Part 180, subpart C) that the award is subject to 2 C.F.R. Part 180.

Condition: We selected forty transactions for testing and although some were exempt from the suspension and debarment procurement requirements, we understand that the City did not verify that the contractors, subrecipients and small business grant recipients were not suspended, debarred, or otherwise excluded prior to entering into the agreements. We did note that City staff determined that as of December 7, 2023, none of the 152 small business grant recipients were included on the exclusions list on SAM.gov.

Cause: We understand that staff responsible for performing the suspension and debarment reviews are no longer with the City and City staff cannot find documentation that it was performed. The former City Manager did sign certifications in December 2020 and January 2021 indicating that the small business grant recipients met “required criteria,” but it was not specific to suspension and debarment, and again, documentation of compliance with the grant agreement requirements was not retained.

Effect: The City is not in compliance with the subrecipient agreement terms and conditions.

Recommendation: The City should develop procedures to ensure compliance with debarment and suspension provisions of the Subrecipient Agreement prior to making disbursements to contractors, subrecipients or small businesses.

View of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions: Please see Corrective Action Plan separately prepared by the City.

CITY OF TURLOCK
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Direct Programs:				
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program				
Loan expenditures	14.228			\$316,597
Program Expenditures	14.228		\$139,652	139,652
Program Expenditures (Program Income)	14.228			1,120,297
Program subtotal			<u>139,652</u>	<u>1,576,546</u>
HOME Investment Partnerships Program				
Loan expenditures	14.239			78,689
Program Expenditures	14.239			208,735
Program Expenditures (Program Income)	14.239			472,501
Program subtotal				<u>759,925</u>
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Development			<u>139,652</u>	<u>2,336,471</u>
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Programs:				
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710			47,348
COVID-19 - Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program	16.034			84,351
Total U.S. Department of Justice				<u>131,699</u>
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Direct Programs:				
Federal Transit Cluster (Note 4)				
COVID-19 - Federal Transit - Formula Grants (Urbanized Area Formula Program)	20.507			2,562,547
Bus and Bus Facilities Formula & Discretionary Programs (Bus Program)	20.526			630,653
Cluster subtotal				<u>3,193,200</u>
Pass-through Programs from:				
California Department of Transportation				
Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway Program)				
Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ)	20.205	CML-5165		595,569
Surface Transportation Program (STP)	20.205	RSTP-5165		1,510,579
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)	20.205	HSIPL-5165		214,554
Program subtotal				<u>2,320,702</u>
State of California Office of Traffic Safety				
State and Community Highway Safety				
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP)	20.600	PT20128		12,158
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP)	20.600	PT21165		24,874
Program subtotal				<u>37,032</u>
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	PT20128		1,797
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	PT21165		3,068
Program subtotal				<u>4,865</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				<u>5,555,799</u>
U.S. Department of Treasury				
Pass-through Programs from:				
State of California Department of Finance				
COVID-19 - Coronavirus Relief Fund (Note 5)	21.019	163		917,355
Stanislaus County				
COVID-19 - Coronavirus Relief Fund	21.019	SLT0163	55,000	1,761,902
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			<u>55,000</u>	<u>2,679,257</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$194,652</u>	<u>\$10,703,226</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF TURLOCK

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021**

NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY

The Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes expenditures of federal awards for the City of Turlock, California, and its component units as disclosed in the notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of accounting refers to *when* revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. All governmental funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. All proprietary funds and fiduciary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred.

NOTE 3 – INDIRECT COST ELECTION

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

NOTE 4 – FEDERAL TRANSIT CLUSTER (AL# 20.507 AND 20.526)

As discussed in Finding SA2021-002, the City drew down grant funding from the incorrect Federal Transit Cluster grant award (AL#20.507, rather than AL#20.526) during fiscal year 2021. Since the costs incurred drawn from a grant award with AL# 20.507 were intended to be drawn from a grant award with AL# 20.526, and the City is working with the grantor to move the grant drawdown to the correct grant award, the amounts reported on the Schedule are reported as the City intended them to be drawn from the grantor.

NOTE 5 – CORONAVIRUS RELIEF PROGRAM (AL# 21.019)

The Schedule for the year ended June 30, 2021 includes expenditures for the Coronavirus Relief Program (AL# 21.019) for the period of March 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021. The period of performance for the grant passed through the State of California Department of Finance was March 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020, and the period of performance for the grant passed through Stanislaus County was March 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021. However, to receive the funding from the State of California Department of Finance, the City was required to file a certification with the State Department of Finance by July 10, 2020 stating that the City would use the funds consistent with federal requirements, adhere to health orders and directives, return unspent funds, and repay any disallowed costs, among other compliance certifications. The City's certification was filed on July 6, 2020.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Turlock, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Turlock as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 6, 2023. Our report included an emphasis of a matter paragraph disclosing the implementation of new accounting principles and the restatement of beginning net position related to the adjustment of loans receivable.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control, we consider to be material weaknesses as listed item SA2021-001 on Section II – Financial Statement Findings of the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs and on the Schedule of Material Weaknesses included as part of our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control dated June 6, 2023, which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control, we consider to be significant deficiencies as listed on the Schedule of Significant Deficiencies included as part of our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control date June 6, 2023, which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, described as Item #2021-002 in the separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated June 6, 2023, which is an integral part of our audit and should be read in conjunction with this report, and in item SA2021-001 in Section II – Financial Statement Findings of the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

City's Response to Findings

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in Section II – Financial Statement Findings of the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs and in our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control dated June 6, 2023, which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Pleasant Hill, California

June 6, 2023, except for finding SA2021-001, as to which the date is December 7, 2023

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;
AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF
FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Turlock, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2021. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our qualified and unmodified opinions on compliance for major federal programs. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Basis for Qualified Opinions on Federal Transit Cluster (AL #20.507 and #20.526) and on COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund (AL #21.019)

As described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the City did not comply with requirements regarding AL #20.507 and #20.526, Federal Transit Cluster, as described in finding number SA2021-002 for allowable costs and matching requirements, and with requirements regarding AL #21.019, COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund, as described in finding number SA2021-003 for procurement (suspension and debarment) requirements. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the City to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

Qualified Opinions on COVID-19 Federal Transit Cluster (AL #20.507 and #20.526) and on COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund (AL #21.019)

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on COVID-19 Federal Transit Cluster (AL # 20.507 and 20.526) and on COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund (AL #21.019) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Unmodified Opinion on Each of the Other Major Federal Programs

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its other major federal programs identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the year ended June 30, 2021.

The City's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items SA2021-002 and SA2021-003 to be material weaknesses.

A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

The City's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying *Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs*. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated June 6, 2023, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Mane & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
December 7, 2023

This Page Left Intentionally Blank